

## 1 Asymptotics Introduction

Give the runtime of the following functions in  $\Theta$  notation. Your answer should be as simple as possible with no unnecessary leading constants or lower order terms.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <pre>private void f1(int N) {     for (int i = 1; i &lt; N; i++) {         for (int j = 1; j &lt; i; j++) {             System.out.println("shreyas 1.0");         }     } }</pre> <p><math>\Theta(\_\_\_)</math></p> | <pre>private void f2(int N) {     for (int i = 1; i &lt; N; i *= 2) {         for (int j = 1; j &lt; i; j++) {             System.out.println("shreyas 2.0");         }     } }</pre> <p><math>\Theta(\_\_\_)</math></p> |
|---|--|

## 2 Disjoint Sets

For each of the arrays below, write whether this could be the array representation of a weighted quick union with path compression and explain your reasoning. **Break ties by choosing the smaller integer to be the root.**

|    | i:    | 0   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7  | 8 | 9   |
|----|-------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|-----|
| A. | a[i]: | 1   | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4  | 4 | 5   |
| B. | a[i]: | 9   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9  | 9 | -10 |
| C. | a[i]: | 1   | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8  | 9 | -10 |
| D. | a[i]: | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1  | 6 | 2   |
| E. | a[i]: | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1  | 6 | 8   |
| F. | a[i]: | -7  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | -3 | 7 | 7   |

### 3 Asymptotics of Weighted Quick Unions

Note: for all big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$  bounds, give the *tightest* bound possible.

(a) Suppose we have a Weighted Quick Union (WQU) without path compression with  $N$  elements.

1. What is the runtime, in big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$ , of `isConnected`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$ ,  $O(\text{-----})$

2. What is the runtime, in big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$ , of `connect`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$ ,  $O(\text{-----})$

(b) Suppose we add the method `addToWQU` to a WQU without path compression. The method takes in a list of `elements` and `connects` them in a random order, stopping when all elements are connected. Assume that all the elements are disconnected before the method call.

```

1 void addToWQU(int[] elements) {
2     int[][] pairs = pairs(elements);
3     for (int[] pair: pairs) {
4         if (size() == elements.length) {
5             return;
6         }
7         connect(pair[0], pair[1]);
8     }
9 }

```

The `pairs` method takes in a list of `elements` and generates all possible pairs of elements in a random order. For example, `pairs([1, 2, 3])` might return `[[1, 3], [2, 3], [1, 2]]` or `[[1, 2], [1, 3], [2, 3]]`.

The `size` method calculates the size of the largest component in the WQU.

Assume that `pairs` and `size` run in constant time.

What is the runtime of `addToWQU` in big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$ ?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$ ,  $O(\text{-----})$

*Hint: Consider the number of calls to `connect` in the best case and worst case. Then, consider the best/worst case time complexity for one call to `connect`.*

(c) Let us define a **matching size connection** as connecting two components in a WQU of equal size. For instance, suppose we have two trees, one with values 1 and 2, and another with the values 3 and 4. Calling `connect(1, 4)` is a matching size connection since both trees have 2 elements.

What is the **minimum** and **maximum** number of matching size connections that can occur after executing `addToWQU`. Assume  $N$ , i.e. `elements.length`, is a power of two. Your answers should be exact.

minimum: \_\_\_\_\_, maximum: \_\_\_\_\_