

## 1 Asymptotics Introduction

Give the runtime of the following functions in  $\Theta$  notation. Your answer should be as simple as possible with no unnecessary leading constants or lower order terms.

<pre>private void f1(int N) {     for (int i = 1; i &lt; N; i++) {         for (int j = 1; j &lt; i; j++) {             System.out.println("shreyas 1.0");         }     } } <math>\Theta(\_\_\_)</math></pre>	<pre>private void f2(int N) {     for (int i = 1; i &lt; N; i *= 2) {         for (int j = 1; j &lt; i; j++) {             System.out.println("shreyas 2.0");         }     } } <math>\Theta(\_\_\_)</math></pre>
--	---

**Solution:**

<pre>private void f1(int N) {     for (int i = 1; i &lt; N; i++) {         for (int j = 1; j &lt; i; j++) {             System.out.println("shreyas 1.0");         }     } } <math>\Theta(N^2)</math></pre>	<pre>private void f2(int N) {     for (int i = 1; i &lt; N; i *= 2) {         for (int j = 1; j &lt; i; j++) {             System.out.println("shreyas 2.0");         }     } } <math>\Theta(N)</math></pre>
---	--

**Explanation (1):** The inner loop does up to  $i$  work each time, and the outer loop increments  $i$  each time. Summing over each loop, we get that  $1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \dots + N = \Theta(N^2)$ .

**Explanation (2):** The inner loop does  $i$  work each time, and we double  $i$  each time until reaching  $N$ .  $1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots + N = \Theta(N)$

## 2 Disjoint Sets

For each of the arrays below, write whether this could be the array representation of a weighted quick union with path compression and explain your reasoning. **Break ties by choosing the smaller integer to be the root.**

i:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
A. a[i]:	1	2	3	0	1	1	1	4	4	5
B. a[i]:	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	9	-10
C. a[i]:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	-10
D. a[i]:	-10	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	2
E. a[i]:	-10	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	8

F. a[i]: -7 0 0 1 1 3 3 -3 7 7

**Solution:** There are three criteria here that invalidates a representation:

- (1) If there is a cycle in the parent-link.
- (2) For each parent-child link, the tree rooted at the parent is smaller than the tree rooted at the child before the link (you would have merged the other way around).
- (3) The height of the tree is greater than  $\log_2 n$ , where  $n$  is the number of elements.

Therefore, we have the following verdicts.

- A. Impossible: has a cycle 0-1, 1-2, 2-3, and 3-0 in the parent-link representation.
- B. Impossible: the nodes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 must link to 0 when 0 is a root; hence, 0 would not link to 9 because 0 is the root of the larger tree.
- C. Impossible: tree rooted at 9 has height 9  $> \log_2 10$ .
- D. Possible: 8-6, 7-1, 6-1, 5-1, 9-2, 3-0, 4-0, 2-0, 1-0.
- E. Impossible: tree rooted at 0 has height 4  $> \log_2 10$ .
- F. Impossible: tree rooted at 0 has height 3  $> \log_2 7$ .

### 3 Asymptotics of Weighted Quick Unions

Note: for all big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$  bounds, give the *tightest* bound possible.

(a) Suppose we have a Weighted Quick Union (WQU) without path compression with  $N$  elements.

1. What is the runtime, in big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$ , of `isConnected`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$ ,  $O(\text{-----})$

2. What is the runtime, in big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$ , of `connect`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$ ,  $O(\text{-----})$

**Solution:**

1.  $\Omega(1)$ ,  $O(\log(N))$

2.  $\Omega(1)$ ,  $O(\log(N))$

In the best-case, if we're checking if  $a$  and  $b$  are connected,  $a$  is the root, and  $b$  is a node directly below the root. This means we only have to traverse one edge of the tree, which is constant time. In the worst-case, we have to traverse the entire height of the tree, and Weighted Quick Union gives us a worst-case height of  $\log N$ , hence the upper-bound of  $O(\log N)$ . Similar logic applies to the `connect` method.

(b) Suppose we add the method `addToWQU` to a WQU without path compression. The method takes in a list of elements and connects them in a random order, stopping when all elements are connected. Assume that all the elements are disconnected before the method call.

```

1 void addToWQU(int[] elements) {
2     int[][] pairs = pairs(elements);
3     for (int[] pair: pairs) {
4         if (size() == elements.length) {
5             return;
6         }
7         connect(pair[0], pair[1]);
8     }
9 }
```

The `pairs` method takes in a list of elements and generates all possible pairs of elements in a random order. For example, `pairs([1, 2, 3])` might return `[[1, 3], [2, 3], [1, 2]]` or `[[1, 2], [1, 3], [2, 3]]`.

The `size` method calculates the size of the largest component in the WQU.

Assume that `pairs` and `size` run in constant time.

What is the runtime of `addToWQU` in big  $\Omega$  and big  $O$ ?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$ ,  $O(\text{-----})$

*Hint: Consider the number of calls to `connect` in the best case and worst case. Then, consider the best/worst case time complexity for one call to `connect`.*

**Solution:**  $\Omega(N)$ ,  $O(N^2 \log(N))$

Note that the if-statement terminates the method when the disjoint set becomes fully connected. The best case occurs when there is a sequence of pairs such that each `connect()` operation takes constant time and the tree becomes connected as quickly as possible. This will happen if we have a sequence  $(0, 1), (0, 2), \dots, (0, N - 1)$ , which consists of  $N - 1$  operations each taking constant time (ie. the best case for `connect` from part a). Note that long running-times occur when an element (e.g. 0) is not connected for many operations, and in the worst-case, 0 is not connected until the last  $N$  operations. This results in a tree of height  $\log N$  and requires up to  $N^2 - N + 1$  iterations.

- (c) Let us define a **matching size connection** as connecting two components in a WQU of equal size. For instance, suppose we have two trees, one with values 1 and 2, and another with the values 3 and 4. Calling `connect(1, 4)` is a matching size connection since both trees have 2 elements.

What is the **minimum** and **maximum** number of matching size connections that can occur after executing `addToWQU`. Assume  $N$ , i.e. `elements.length`, is a power of two. Your answers should be exact.

minimum: \_\_\_\_\_, maximum: \_\_\_\_\_

**Solution:** minimum: 1, maximum:  $N - 1$

The minimum number occurs for the sequence above, where there is only one matching size connection:  $(0, 1)$ . The maximum number is a bit more tricky, but occurs if we pairwise-connect the elements together, then pairwise connect those, and so on. An example for  $N=8$  elements is as follows:  $(0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5), (6, 7), (0, 2), (4, 6), (0, 4)$ . In general, there are  $N/2$  matching-size connections of size 1,  $N/4$  matching-size connections of size 2, and so on, up until one matching-size connection of size  $N/2$ . This is the sum  $N/2 + N/4 + N/8 + \dots + 2 + 1$ , which simplifies to  $N - 1$ .